

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			2795		
09/762,311 06/25/2001		Marta Blumenfeld	46.US2.PCT	2193		
27206 GENSET	7590 09/20/2002		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
JOHN LUCA	.S, PHD, J.D. ENTO VALLEY RD	:	DAVIS, NA	DAVIS, NATALIE A		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1642	a		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002	<u>,</u>		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/762,311	BLUMENFELD ET AL.		۲ AL.
		Examiner		Art Unit	
	-	Natalie A. Davis		1642	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app		heet with the co	rrespondence ac	Idress
Period for	r Reply				
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute aply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI	er, may a reply be time um of thirty (30) days K (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time ne mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-2	<u>~~</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-fin			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for for	mal matters, pro	osecution as to t	he merits is
_	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		933 O.D. 11, 40	30 0.0. 2.0.	
4)🛛	Claim(s) 42-63 is/are pending in the application	on.	tion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn trom considera	UON.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) 42-63 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	ent.		
• -	ion Papers	or			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	er. ented or b) Objects	d to by the Exa	miner.	
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held	in abevance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
441	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	d b)∐ disappro	oved by the Exam	iner.
11)[_]	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office act	ion.		
12\□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
42\\	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
. a,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rece	ived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rece	ived in Applicat	ion No	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for a li	iority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 1 st of the certified co	ave been receiv 17.2(a)). opies not receive	ed in this Nation ed.	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisio	nal application).
	a) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	orovisional applicati	on has been re	ceived.	
Attachme					
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	4)	Interview Summal Notice of Informal Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper Patent Application (No(s) PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/762,311

Art Unit: 1642

Election/Restrictions

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 42-57 and 59, drawn to a composition comprising nucleotide sequences of TBC-1 and a method of making a polypeptide.

Group II, claim(s) 58, drawn to a composition comprising a TBC-1 antibody.

Group III, claim(s) 60-63, drawn to a method of genotyping by determining the identity of a polymorphic base at a TBC-1-related biallelic marker.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Inventions I-III have been found by the examiner to have no special technical feature that defined a contribution over the prior art because Zon, et al., (U.S. Patent 5,700,927, 1997) teach the TBC1 gene and uses thereof. Since the inventions do not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art, they do not have a single inventive concept and lack unity of invention.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A- biallelic marker in position 9494 of SEQ ID NO: 1

B- biallelic marker in position 1443 of SEQ ID NO: 2

C- biallelic marker in position 5247 of SEQ ID NO: 2

Application/Control Number: 09/762,311

Art Unit: 1642

D- biallelic marker in position 6223 of SEQ ID NO: 2

E- biallelic marker in position 14723 of SEQ ID NO: 2

F- biallelic marker in position 19186 of SEQ ID NO: 2

G- biallelic marker in position 18997 of SEQ ID NO: 2

H- biallelic marker in position 19891 of SEQ ID NO: 2

I- biallelic marker in position 29617 of SEQ ID NO: 2

J- biallelic marker in position 42519 of SEQ ID NO: 2

K- biallelic marker in position 69324 of SEQ ID NO: 2

L- biallelic marker in position 69181 of SEQ ID NO: 2

M- biallelic marker in position 69146 of SEQ ID NO: 2

N- biallelic marker in position 76458 of SEQ ID NO: 2

O- biallelic marker in position 78595 of SEQ ID NO: 2

P- biallelic marker in position 82159 of SEQ ID NO: 2

Q- biallelic marker in position 84522 of SEQ ID NO: 2

R- biallelic marker in position 84810 of SEQ ID NO: 2

S- biallelic marker in position 89967 of SEQ ID NO: 2

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

In the event applicant elects claim(s) 42-57 and 59 of Group I or Claim(s) 60-3-63 of Group II, applicant is required to elect a single species of biallelic marker.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,311

Art Unit: 1642

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

According to PCT Rule 13.2 and to the guidelines in Section (f) (i) (B) (1) of Annex B of the PCT Administrative Instructions, all alternatives of a Markush Group must have a common structure. Although the polynucleotides share a common property, a biallelic marker, the polynucleotides are not regarded as being of similar nature because all the alternatives do not share a common structure.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie A. Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-6410. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5:30 (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa PhD can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4315 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Natalie A. Davis, PhD September 18, 2002

SHEELA HUFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER